arXiv:1510.00376v1 [hep-th] 1 Oct 2015

Aspects of moduli stabilization in type IIB string theory

Shaaban Khalil, Ahmad Moursy, and Ali Nassar
Center for Fundamental Physics, Zewail City of Science and Technology,
12588 Giza, Egypt

October 2, 2015

We review moduli stabilization in type IIB string theory compactification with fluxes. We focus on the KKLT and Large Volume Scenario (LVS). We show that the predicted soft SUSY breaking terms in KKLT model are not phenomenological viable. In LVS, the following result for scalar mass, gaugino mass, and trilinear term is obtained: $m_0 = m_{1/2} = -A_0 = m_{3/2}$, which may account for Higgs mass limit if $m_{3/2} \sim \mathcal{O}(1.5)$ TeV. However, in this case the relic abundance of the lightest neutralino can not be consistent with the measured limits. We also study the cosmological consequences of moduli stabilization in both models. In particular, the associated inflation models such as racetrack inflation and Kähler inflation are analyzed. Finally the problem of moduli destabilization and the effect of string moduli backreaction on the inflation models are discussed.

Introduction

Ever since the invention of the Kaluza-Klein mechanism it was realized that extra-dimensional models are plagued with massless scalar fields when compactified to 4D. In the original Kaluza-Klein construction, the radius of the x^5-circle, $R(x^\mu)$, is not fixed by the dynamics and appears as a scalar field with no potential in the effective 4D theory. This is a generic feature of most compactifications of higher-dimensional gravitational/Yang-Mills theories. The parameters which describe the shape and size of the compactification manifold give rise to massless scalar *moduli* with no potential in 4D (flat directions) i.e., non-stabilized moduli fields. These moduli are gravitationally coupled and as such there existence would be in conflict with experiment (see [1] for a review).

String theory being a candidate for a unified theory of the forces of nature must be able to reproduce the physics of our real world which is 4 dimensional with a small positive cosmological constant and chiral gauge interactions. This amounts to finding de Sitter vacua of string theory with all moduli stabilized. The seminal work in [2] constructed

the first models of Grand Unified Theories (GUTs) from Calabi-Yau compactification of the heterotic string. In these models, the 10D gauge group ($E_8 \times E_8$ or $SO(32)$) is broken to Standard-Model-like gauge groups by turning on background gauge fields on the internal space. The chiral fermions are obtained from the dimensional reduction of the 10D gaugino and the number of the generations is half the Euler characteristic of the internal space [2]. These compactifications are purely geometric and give rise to an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric (SUSY) 4D theories with large number of moduli. Other compactifications which leads to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY in 4D are type II theories on Calabi-Yau orientifolds with D-branes and fluxes, M-theory on manifolds with G_2 holonomy, F-theory on Calabi-Yau four-folds (see [4] for a review and references therein).

One essential fact about our universe is that it has a small positive cosmological constant, i.e., a De Sitter space-time. The moduli scalar potential $V(\phi)$ should be such that it's minimum produces the observed value of the cosmological constant. This turns out to be a very difficult problem since de Sitter vacua are known to break supersymmetry. The first attempt at finding realistic vacua of string theory were mainly concerned with Minkowski and Anti de Sitter vacua [2, 3, 11, 22]. There it was shown that turning on magnetic fluxes in the internal manifold leads to a non-trivial warp factor and a non-Kähler geometry. The situation improved drastically since the introduction of D-branes as non-perturbative objects in string theory. This resulted in the celebrated KKLT scenario in which a moduli fixing mechanism was introduced [17]. The Large Volume Scenario followed after [75]. Therein one can turn on a vacuum expectation value for the fluxes in the internal space without breaking the 4D Lorentz invariance.

In this paper we review the flux compactification and moduli stabilization in type IIB string theory. The Kachru-Kallosh-Linde-Trivedi (KKLT) [17] scenario was a major step in constructing dS vacua with all the moduli stabilized including the volume modulus. The Large Volume Scenario (LVS) by Quevedo *et al.* [75, 57] was proposed as an alternative to stabilize all the moduli with the volume moduli stabilized at extremely large volume. Realistic models of moduli stabilization must come as close as possible to the observed phenomenology at low energy and also to account for cosmological inflation at high energy scales. From this point of view, we analyze the low energy phenomenology of both KKLT and LVS. We emphasize that these models provide specific set of soft SUSY breaking terms, which are not phenomenologically viable. In addition, we study the impact of these scenarios on inflation. It turns out that inflation could destabilize the moduli again. This problem has been analyzed in details in Ref [42]. On the other hand moduli stabilization may have backreaction effects on the inflationary potential, which could change the inflationary parameters.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 1, we review the flux compactification and moduli stabilization in type IIB string theory. Sections 2 is devoted for KKLT model and its variants, where we discussed several examples for vacuum uplifting. In Section 3 we present the LVS as an alternative scenario that overcomes some of the KKLT drawbacks. The phenomenological implications and SUSY breaking soft terms of these two models are studied in Section 4. In Section 5 we highlight the cosmological implications of these two models. Finally we state our conclusions in Section 6.

1 Flux compactifications and moduli stabilization in string theory

We start by reviewing the heterotic string compactification which has been considered to connect string theory to four dimensions physics [2]. Then we discuss type IIB flux compactifications, where the complex structure moduli (CSM) and the dilaton are stabilized by the RR and NS-NS 3-form fluxes.

1.1 Heterotic string compactification

To compactify string theory down to 4D, one looks for vacuum solutions of the form $M_{10} = M_4 \times M_6$, where M_4 is assumed to have 4D Poincaré invariance and M_6 (or simply $\mathcal{M}$) is a compact internal 6D Euclidean space. The most general metric compatible with these requirements can be written as [2]

$$G_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{A(y)}\eta_{\mu\nu} & 0 \\ 0 & g_{mn}(y) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

where y^m are the coordinates on $\mathcal{M}$ with the metric $g_{mn}(y)$ and the requirement of Poincaré symmetry of M_4 still allows for a warp factor which depends on $\mathcal{M}$ only.

We also require an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry in 4D since this is phenomenologically appealing and gives more analytic control. For M_4, one consider a homogenous and isotropic maximally symmetric solutions which implies that the Riemann tensor takes the form

$$R_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} = c\big(g_{\mu\rho}g_{\nu\lambda} - g_{\mu\lambda}g_{\nu\rho}\big), \tag{2}$$

where c is fixed by contracting both sides with $g^{\mu\rho}g^{\nu\lambda}$ and turns out to be equal to $R/12$. The constant scalar curvature R could be $R = 0$ (Minkowski), $R < 0$ (AdS), or $R > 0$ (dS).

When the radius of curvature of $\mathcal{M}$ is large compared to the Planck scale, one can use the supergravity approximation of string theory. In order to have a supersymmetric background, the supergravity transformations of the fermions must vanish [2]

$$\delta_\varepsilon(\text{Fermions}) = 0. \tag{3}$$

For Heterotic string theory, the supergravity variations of the fermions are given by [2]

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\psi_M &= \nabla_M\varepsilon - \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{H}_M\varepsilon, \\ \delta\lambda &= -\frac{1}{2}\partial\!\!\!/\Phi\varepsilon + \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{H}\varepsilon, \\ \delta\xi &= -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{F}\varepsilon, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where ψ_M, λ, ξ are the gravitino, dilatino, and the gaugino respectively. The H-flux is $\mathbf{H}_M = \gamma_{NP}H_{MNP}$ and $\mathbf{H} = \gamma_{MNP}H_{MNP}$; and $\mathbf{F} = \gamma_{MN}F_{MN}$ is Yang-Mills field strength of the $E_8 \times E_8$ or $SO(32)$ gauge fields in 10D.

The Bianchi identity of H is given by

$$dH = \frac{\alpha'}{4}\big[\mathrm{Tr}(R \wedge R) - \mathrm{Tr}(F \wedge F)\big]. \tag{5}$$

Since dH is exact, i.e., zero in cohomology, then the cohomology classes of $\mathrm{Tr}(R \wedge R)$ and $\mathrm{Tr}(F \wedge F)$ are the same.

The vanishing of above variations for a given spinor ε will put some restrictions on the background fields and in particular on the geometry and topology of $\mathcal{M}$. The compactification of heterotic string theory with vanishing H-flux (or vanishing torsion) was first studied in [2, 11] and it leads to the following conditions on the string background.

$$\delta\psi_\mu = 0 \longrightarrow G_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}, \quad e^{A(y)} = \text{constant}. \tag{6}$$

That is the external space M_4 is Minkowski with a constant warp factor.

The dilatino variation gives

$$\partial_m \Phi = 0, \tag{7}$$

i.e., the dilaton is constant over $\mathcal{M}$.

The gravitino variation $\delta\psi_m$ gives

$$\nabla_m \varepsilon = 0. \tag{8}$$

This equation says that $\mathcal{M}$ admits a covariantly constant spinor. The integrability condition resulting from the above equation implies that $\mathcal{M}$ is Ricci flat

$$R_{mn} = 0. \tag{9}$$

Hence the first Chern class of $\mathcal{M}$ vanishes

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi}[\mathcal{R}]. \tag{10}$$

It was conjectured by Calabi and proved by Yau that Ricci-flat compact Kähler manifolds with $c_1 = 0$ admit a metric with $SU(3)$ holonomy. These metrics come in families and are parameterized by continuous parameters T_i which defines the shape and sizes of $\mathcal{M}$. The parameters T_i appear as scalar fields (moduli) in 4D with no potential and a major goal in string theory is to generate a potential which stabilize these moduli in a way consistent with observations.

One can describe the 4D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ models resulting from the heterotic string compactification in terms of an effective SUSY theory. This theory is characterized by a Kähler potential K, a gauge kinetic function f, and a superpotential W. The tree-level superpotential W doesn't fix the moduli; due to non-renormalization theorems W is not renormalized at any order in perturbation theory [9, 24]. This means that if supersymmetry is unbroken at tree level, it will remain unbroken to all orders of perturbation theory. Non-perturbative effects such as gaugino condensation [25] can correct the superpotential and fix some of the moduli.

1.2 Type IIB compactification

We now turn our discussion to type IIB string theory. The massless bosonic spectrum of type IIB consists of the metric g_{MN}, the RR 0-form, C_0 and the scalar dilaton ϕ which are combined into the axiodilaton $S = C_0 + ie^{-\phi}$ where the string coupling is given by $\frac{1}{g_s} = e^{-\phi}$. In addition, the spectrum contains the RR 2-form C_2 and 4-form C_4 as well as the NS 2-form B_2. It is convenient to combine the RR and NS 3-forms $F_3 = dC_2$ and $H_3 = dB_2$ into $G_3 = F_3 - SH_3$. The classical action of type IIB supergravity S^0_{IIB} is divided into a bulk action S_b, the Chern-Simons action S_{cs} and contributions from the D-brane sources S_l [13] [1]

$$S^0_{IIB} = S_b + S_{cs} + S_l. \tag{11}$$

In the string frame, S_b, S_{cs} and S_l are given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_b &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^7\alpha'^4}\int d^{10}x\sqrt{-g}\left\{e^{-2\phi}[\mathcal{R} + 4(\nabla\phi)^2] - \frac{F_1^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2\cdot 3!}G_3\cdot\bar{G}_3 - \frac{\tilde{F}_5^2}{4\cdot 5!}\right\}, \\ S_{cs} &= \frac{1}{4i(2\pi)^7\alpha'^4}\int e^{\phi}C_4\wedge G_3\wedge\bar{G}_3, \\ S_l &= \sum_{sources}\left(-\int_{\mathbb{R}^4\times\Sigma} d^{p+1}\xi T_p e^{-\phi}\sqrt{-g} + \mu_p\int_{\mathbb{R}^4\times\Sigma} C_{p+1}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where T_p and μ_p are respectively, the tension and charge of the Dp-brane. The string tension is expressed in terms of string length as

$$\alpha' = 1/M_{st}^2 = (l_s/2\pi)^2. \tag{13}$$

The 5-form $\widetilde{F}_5$ is defined as

$$\widetilde{F}_5 = dC_4 - \frac{1}{2}C_2\wedge H_3 + \frac{1}{2}F_3\wedge B_2, \tag{14}$$

which is self dual and satisfies Bianchi identity

$$d\widetilde{F}_5 = H_3\wedge F_3. \tag{15}$$

One would like to consider warped compactifications of type IIB on a compact manifold $\mathcal{M}$. The metric ansatz for a 4D warped compactification is given by [16]

$$ds^2_{10} = \sum_{M,N=0}^{9} G_{MN}dx^M dx^N = e^{2A(y)}\eta_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu + e^{-2A(y)}g_{mn}dy^m dy^n. \tag{16}$$

The 10D Einstein equation of motion is

$$R_{MN} = \kappa^2\left(T_{MN} - \frac{1}{8}G_{MN}T\right), \tag{17}$$

[1] More precisely, S_l represents the action of the localized sources for the case of a Dp-brane wrapping a $(p-3)$-cycle Σ.

where

$$T_{MN} = T^{\text{sugra}}_{MN} + T^{l}_{MN}, \tag{18}$$

is the total stress tensor of supergravity plus the localized objects, *i.e*

$$T^{l}_{MN} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-G}}\frac{\delta S_l}{\delta G^{MN}}, \tag{19}$$

In this regard the space–time components of the latter action reduces to

$$\nabla^2 e^{4A} = \frac{e^{2A}}{12\text{Im}\tau}|G_3|^2 + e^{-6A}\big(|\partial\alpha|^2 + \big|\partial e^{4A}\big|^2\big) + \frac{\kappa^2_{10}}{2}e^{2A}\big(T^m_m - T^\mu_\mu\big)^l, \tag{20}$$

where α is a function on the compact space. Integrating both sides of this equation over the compact manifold $\mathcal{M}$, the left-hand side gives zero since it's a total derivative. If there are no localized sources then the right-hand side is a sum of positive terms and vanishes only if α and A are constants and $G_3 = 0$. This is the familiar no-go theorem of flux compactifications [7, 8]. However, the existence of localized sources in string theory like orientifold planes can balance the contribution coming from fluxes to give a non-trivial warp factor. This was realized in string theory in [16]. The setup in [16] allows for a stabilization of complex structure moduli by turning on RR and NS fluxes in the internal space [26, 20].

1.3 Type IIB fluxes and moduli stabilization

One way to see the problem in a simple setting is nicely reviewed in [5, 26] in a toy model and we review it here. One can generate a potential for the moduli by turning on fluxes in the internal space. The potential in 4D results from the Maxwell term of the fluxes

$$V = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu_1\nu_1}\cdots g^{\mu_p\nu_p} F_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p}F_{\nu_1\cdots\nu_p} = \int F_p \wedge *F_p, \tag{21}$$

where g is the metric of the internal space. The metric g will depend on the moduli of the internal space and after doing the integral on $\mathcal{M}$, one gets a potential for the moduli $V(\phi)$. For example, consider a 6D Maxwell-Einstein theory compactified on a two sphere S^2 with a non-zero flux of F_2 piercing S^2

$$\int_{S^2} F = N. \tag{22}$$

This flux contributes a positive energy to the effective 4D potential which can then balance the negative contribution coming from the curvature of S^2. More specifically the contribution to the effective potential coming from the flux originates from the Maxwell term in 6D

$$V(R) = \int F_2 \wedge *F_2 \sim \frac{N^2}{R^6}, \tag{23}$$

where the determinant of the metric contributes a factor of R^2 and two metric contractions contribute a factor of $1/R^2$ while the transformation to the Einstein frame gives a factor $1/R^4$. Therefor total 4D potential takes the form

$$V(R) = \frac{N^2}{R^6} - \frac{1}{R^4}. \tag{24}$$

which is minimized at $R = N$ and if N is large the curvature is small and the supergravity approximation is reliable [5, 26]. In string theory, additional ingredients beside the fluxes are needed to construct stable vacua. These ingredients are the D-branes and orientifold planes [27].

The main idea of flux compactification is that there are solutions of the string tree-level equations in which some of the p-form fields are non-zero in the vacuum. In these constructions, one needs to make sure that the backreaction of the flux on the geometry doesn't take us outside the supergravity approximation. This turns out to be possible [11] with the introduction of a warp factor varying over the internal manifold and hence the new geometry is conformal to the non-flux case. The fluxes which can be turned on, are the RR fluxes of type II and the H_3 flux. In this case the quantization condition on the fluxes is

$$\frac{1}{l_s^p} \int_{\Sigma_{p+1}} F_{p+1} \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{25}$$

where the integrality of the cohomolgy classes of F_{p+1} is due to Dirac's charge quantization. The non-vanishing of the cohomology classes of these p-form fields leads to obstructions which lifts some of the flat directions of the compactification, i.e., it leads to potential which freezes some of the moduli.

In the presence of sources the modified Bianchi identity now reads [27]

$$d\widetilde{F}_5 = H_3 \wedge F_3 + 2\kappa_{10}^2 \mu_3 \sum_a \pi_6^a + 2\kappa_{10}^2 Q_3 \mu_3 \pi_6^{O3}. \tag{26}$$

Integrating this equation over the compact internal manifold one gets the tadpole cancelation condition [27]

$$N_{\text{flux}} + N_{\text{D3}} + Q_3 N_{O3} = 0, \tag{27}$$

where

$$N_{\text{flux}} = \frac{1}{l_s^4} \int_{\mathcal{M}} H_3 \wedge F_3. \tag{28}$$

The type IIB string theory will be compactified on Calabi-Yau orientifolds in order to obtain a 4D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ model. It turned out that one needs to make an orientifold projection in order to have supersymmetric compactification [27]. The orientifold action projects out one of the two gravitinos and breaks the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY down to $\mathcal{N} = 1$. The orientifold projection also introduces O-planes with a background charge and a negative energy density which balances the contribution of D-branes and leads to stable compactification. The RR and NS-NS 3-form fluxes are restricted via the integral cohomology which determines the quantized background fluxes as follows

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2\alpha'} \int_{\Sigma_a} F_3 = n_a \in \mathbb{Z}, \qquad \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2\alpha'} \int_{\Sigma_b} H_3 = m_b \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{29}$$

with $\Sigma_{a,b}$ are 3-cycles of the Calabi-Yau manifold. In this case tadpole condition (27) reads

$$\frac{\chi(\mathcal{M})}{24} \;=\; N_{D3} + \frac{1}{(2\pi^4)\alpha'^2}\int_{\mathcal{M}} H_3 \wedge F_3 \;, \tag{30}$$

where N_{D3} is the net number of $(D3 - \overline{D3})$ branes filling the noncompact dimensions and $\chi(\mathcal{M})$ is the Euler characteristic of the elliptically fibred Calabi-Yau fourfold $\mathcal{M}$.

The compactification to 4D on a Calabi-Yau manifold with orientifold planes will give rise to an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity theory which is characterized by a Kähler potential K, a superpotential W and a gauge kinetic function f [14, 15]. The tree-level Kähler potential is given by the Weil-Petersson metric using the Kaluza-Klein reduction of type IIB supergravity.

$$K \;=\; -3\ln[(T+\bar{T})] - \ln[(S+\bar{S})] - \ln[-i\int_{\mathcal{M}} \Omega \wedge \overline{\Omega}]. \tag{31}$$

Here T represents the volume modulus and is one of the Kähler moduli. The conditions on the fluxes in type IIB are

$$*\, G_3 = iG_3, \qquad G_3^{0,3} = 0. \tag{32}$$

Since the hodge $*$ depends on the metric then one expects the above conditions can fix the geometric moduli except for the overall scale of the metric since the hodge $*$ is conformally invariant in six dimensions. This leaves the overall volume of the compactification manifold undetermined. These conditions can be derived from a superpotential given by the Gukov-Vafa-Witten (GVW) form [12]

$$W = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \Omega \wedge G_3. \tag{33}$$

This superpotential depends on the complex structure moduli through Ω and is independent of the Kähler moduli.

The $N = 1$ supergravity scalar potential is given by

$$V = e^K \left(\sum_{a,b} g^{a\bar{b}} D_a W \overline{D_b W} - 3|W|^2 \right), \tag{34}$$

where a, b run over all the moduli. Due to the no-scale structure of the Kähler potential (31), sum over Kähler moduli cancels the term $3|W|^2$ and the potential (34) reduces to the no scale structure [10]

$$V_{\text{no-scale}} = e^K \left(\sum_{i,j} g^{i\bar{j}} D_i W \overline{D_j W} \right), \tag{35}$$

where i, j run over the dilaton and complex structure moduli. Accordingly, the dilaton and complex structure moduli can be stabilized in a supersymmetric minimum by solving

the equation $D_a W = 0$ which may have a solution for generic choice of the flux. In this case, $W = W_0$ at the minimum.

The above discussion shows that the no-scale structure doesn't fix the value of the volume modulus T, i.e., the modulus T is a flat direction. The stabilization of T is Of uttermost importance in order for string theory to make contact with realistic models. This issue will be addressed in the upcoming sections.

2 KKLT and its variants

In order to stabilize the volume modulus T, a non-perturbative superpotential was considered by Kachru, Kallosh, Linde and Trivedi (KKLT) [17]. The source of these non-perturbative terms could be either D3-brane instantons or gaugino condensation from the non-abelian gauge sector on the D7-branes. As advocated in the previous section, the dilaton and the complex structure moduli are stabilized at a high scale by the flux induced superpotential and hence their contribution to the superpotential is a constant W_0. Thus, the total effective superpotential is given by

$$W = W_0 + Ae^{-aT}. \tag{36}$$

The coefficient $a = 2\pi$ or $2\pi/N$ is the correction arising from $D3$ instantons or $SU(N)$ gaugino condensation, and A is constant of order $\mathcal{O}(1)$. In addition, the Kähler potential is given by

$$K = -3\ln[T + \overline{T}]. \tag{37}$$

Here, $T = \tau + i\psi$, with τ is the volume modulus of the internal manifold and ψ is the axionic part. A Supersymmetric minimum is obtained by solving the equation

$$D_T W = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad W_0 = -Ae^{-a\tau_0}(1 + \frac{2}{3}a\tau_0), \tag{38}$$

with τ_0 is the value of τ that minimizes the scalar potential.

Substituting this solution in the potential

$$V = e^K \left(\frac{3}{(T+\overline{T})^2} |D_T W|^2 - 3|W|^2 \right), \tag{39}$$

one finds the following negative minimum

$$V_0^{AdS} = \big(-3e^K |W|^2 \big)|_{\tau_0} = -\frac{a^2 A^2 e^{-2a\tau_0}}{6\tau_0}. \tag{40}$$

The scalar potential as a function of $\tau = \mathrm{Re}(T)$ is given by[2]

$$V(\tau) = \frac{aAe^{-2a\tau}\left(A(a\tau+3) + 3W_0 e^{a\tau}\right)}{6\tau^2}. \tag{41}$$

[2]The imaginary part $\mathrm{Im}(T) = \psi$ is frozen at zero.




Figure 1: *The scalar potential $V(\tau)$ (multiplied by 10^{29}) with $W_0 = -10^{-12}$, $A = 1$, $a = 1$. The blue curve shows the AdS minimum, while the green and red curves exhibit the uplifting to dS minimum via $\delta V = \frac{E_3}{\tau^3}, \frac{E_2}{\tau^2}$, respectively, with $E_3 = 3.5 \times 10^{-25}$ and $E_2 = 1.13 \times 10^{-26}$.*

It is important to uplift this AdS minimum to a Minkowski or a dS minimum in order to have realistic models. The uplift of the above AdS vacuum to a dS one will break SUSY where one needs another contribution to the potential which usually has dependence like τ^{-n} [51]

$$\delta V(\tau) \approx \ |V_0^{AdS}| \ \frac{\tau_0^n}{\tau^n}. \tag{42}$$

In this case a new minimum is obtained due to shifting τ_0 to $\tau_0' = \tau_0 + \varepsilon$, where ε is given by

$$\varepsilon \simeq \frac{1}{a^2 \tau_0}. \tag{43}$$

Since the consistency of the KKLT requires that $\tau_0, a\tau_0 \gg 1$ [17], the shift in the minima is much small and we can calculate physical quantities such as masses in terms of τ_0.

There are many proposals for such uplifting, e.g., adding anti-D3-branes [17], D-term uplift [28, 29, 30, 50, 31, 32, 33], F-term uplift [34, 35] and Kähler uplift [31, 38, 39, 40]. In the original KKLT scenario [17], some anti-D3-branes were added which contributes and additional part to the scalar potential

$$\delta V = \frac{E_3}{\tau^3}. \tag{44}$$

One of the drawbacks of this mechanism is that SUSY is broken explicitly due to the addition of the anti-D3-branes. In this case, the effective 4D theory cannot be recast

into the standard form of 4D supergravity and this in turn makes it very difficult to have a low energy effective theory [28]. An uplifting mechanism via a D-term scalar potential was proposed in [28] where the possible fluxes of gauge fields living on the D7 branes were used. In this case, the fluxes induce a term in the 4D effective action of the form

$$T_7 \int_\Sigma d^4y \sqrt{g_8} F^{mn} F_{mn} = \frac{2\pi E^2}{\tau^3}, \tag{45}$$

with Σ is the 4-cycle on which D7 branes wrap, T_7 is the tension of D7-branes and E measures the strength of the flux. Accordingly, the D-term scalar potential is given by

$$V_D \;=\; \frac{g_{YM}^2}{2} D^2 = \frac{2\pi}{\tau}\left(\frac{E}{\tau} + \sum q_i |C_i|^2\right)^2, \tag{46}$$

where C_i are charged matter fields with charges q_i. These fields can be minimized at $C_i = 0$ and accordingly, the full potential will be

$$V \;=\; V_F + V_D \tag{47}$$

with $V_D = 2\pi E^2/\tau^3$ and we end with similar behavior to the KKLT potential.




Figure 2: *The minimum of the potential of the scenario [35] at $T = 2, C = 0$. The left panel corresponds to $V(T, 0)$ rescaled by $\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}$ and the right panel corresponds to corresponds to $V(T_0, C)$ rescaled by $\frac{10^4}{\epsilon^2}$.*

Another approach for uplifting uses the F-term [34, 35]. In this case, SUSY will be broken spontaneously in the F-term moduli sector which in turn generates an uplift term for the AdS KKLT stabilized volume. For example, in [35] the Kähler potential contains a modulus T and a matter field C and has the form

$$K = -3\ln(T + \overline{T}) + |C|^2. \tag{48}$$

The effective superpotential [35] is given by

$$W = \sum_i \omega_i(C) \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha_i T} + \phi(C), \tag{49}$$

where $\omega_i(C)$ and $\phi(C)$ are functions of the matter fields resulting due to integrating out heavy fields with the index i runs over the gaugino condensates. The scalar potential will be minimized at [35]

$$C = 0, \qquad T = T_0. \tag{50}$$

Figure 2 shows the shape of the potential near the minimum $T = T_0, C = 0$. This model is different from the approach studied in [37], where the effects of charged chiral fields that resides on D3 and D7 branes [36] were considered. In that case, new T-dependence will be generated in the Kähler potential

$$K \;=\; -3\ln(T+\overline{T}) - 3\ln(S+\overline{S}) + \frac{|\langle C_3\rangle|^2}{(T+\overline{T})} + \frac{|\langle C_7\rangle|^2}{(S+\overline{S})}\,, \tag{51}$$

where C_3, C_7 are charged chiral matter fields. In addition the superpotential does not contain any non-perturbative effects

$$W \;=\; A + BS. \tag{52}$$

Therefor, an AdS minimum is obtained which is uplifted by a D-term associated with the gauge symmetry of the matter fields.




Figure 3: *Potential of one modulus model for the Kähler uplift. The red curves correspond to the limits on the parameter C for a dS vacuum, while the dotted one corresponds to C in the destabilization region.*

Another way for uplifting to dS vacua of the volume stabilized moduli, is by *Kähler uplift models* where the perturbative corrections to the Kähler potential will paly an important role in constructing dS vacua [31, 38, 39, 40]. Now we consider a model

proposed in [39] where $h^{1,1} = 1$ and $h^{2,1} > 1$ so that the Euler characteristic is $\chi = 2(h^{1,1} - h^{2,1}) < 0$. In this case, the Kähler potential and superpotential are

$$K = -2\ln\left(\hat{\mathcal{V}} + \alpha'^3\frac{\hat{\xi}}{2}\right), \tag{53}$$

$$W = W_0 + \sum_i A_i e^{-a_i T_i}, \tag{54}$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{V}} = \gamma(T+\bar{T})^{3/2}$ is the normalized volume, with $\gamma = \sqrt{3}/(2\sqrt{\kappa})$ and

$$\hat{\xi} = -\frac{\chi(\mathcal{M})\zeta(3)}{4\sqrt{2}(2\pi)^3}(S+\bar{S})^{3/2}. \tag{55}$$

Accordingly, the τ dependance of $N = 1$ supergravity scalar potential is given by [55, 41, 39]

$$V(t) = e^K\left(K^{T\bar{T}}\left[a^2A^2e^{-2at} + (-aAe^{-at}\overline{WK_T} + c.c)\right] + 3\hat{\xi}\frac{\hat{\xi}^2 + 7\hat{\xi}\hat{\mathcal{V}} + \hat{\mathcal{V}}^2}{(\hat{\mathcal{V}} - \hat{\xi})(\hat{\xi} + 2\hat{\mathcal{V}})^2}|W|^2\right), \tag{56}$$

where ψ stabilizes at $\psi = n\pi/a$ for $n = 0, 1, \ldots$. Using the approximation $\hat{\mathcal{V}} \gg \hat{\xi}$ and $|W_0| \gg Ae^{-at}$ and defining the quantities

$$x = a \cdot t, \qquad C = \frac{-27W_0\hat{\xi}a^{3/2}}{64\sqrt{2}\gamma A}, \tag{57}$$

then the scalar potential will be simplified to the form

$$V(x) \simeq \frac{-W_0a^3A}{2\gamma^2}\left(\frac{2C}{9x^{9/2}} - \frac{e^{-x}}{x^2}\right). \tag{58}$$

In order to have stable dS vacuum, C must satisfy the constraint [39]

$$3.65 \lesssim C \lesssim 3.89. \tag{59}$$

This is clarified in Figure 3, where for values of $C < 3.65$, we have AdS minimum and for values of $C > 3.89$, the volume is destabilized.

3 Moduli stabilization in Large Volume Scenario

Another alternative scenario for moduli stabilization based on a large volume scenario has been proposed by Quevedo, *et al.* [75, 57] in order to overcome some of the drawbacks of the KKLT model. Basically, increasing the number of Kähler moduli will worsen the situation when α' corrections are neglected. The LVS was built on the proposal that the number of complex structure moduli is bigger than the number of Kähler moduli, i.e., $h^{2,1} > h^{1,1} > 1$ as well as the inclusion of α' corrections. The $\mathcal{O}(\alpha'^3)$ contribution to the

Kähler potential (after integrating out the dilaton and the complex structure moduli) is given by [41, 54, 55]

$$K_{\alpha'} = -2\log\left[e^{-3\phi/2}\mathcal{V} + \frac{\xi}{2}\left(\frac{(S+\bar{S})}{2}\right)^{3/2}\right] + K_{cs}, \tag{60}$$

with $\xi = -\frac{\zeta(3)\chi(\mathcal{M})}{2(2\pi)^3}$ and ϕ is the type-IIB dilaton. Here $\mathcal{V}$ is defined as the classical volume of the manifold $\mathcal{M}$ which is given by

$$\mathcal{V} = \int_{\mathcal{M}} J^3 = \frac{1}{6}\kappa_{ijk}t^i t^j t^k, \tag{61}$$

where J is the Kähler class and t_i are moduli that measure the areas of 2-cycles with $i = 1, 2, \ldots, h_{1,1}$. The complexified Kähler moduli are defined as $T_j \equiv \tau_j + i\psi_j$ with τ_j are the four-cycle moduli defined by the relation

$$\tau_j = \partial_{t_j}\mathcal{V} = \frac{1}{2}\kappa_{jkl}t^k t^l \ . \tag{62}$$

Since the superpotential is not renormalized at any order in perturbation theory, it will not receive α' corrections. But there is a possibility of non-perturbative corrections, which may depend on the Kähler moduli (as in the KKLT model) via D3-brane instantons or gaugino condensation from wrapped D7-branes. Accordingly, the superpotential is given by

$$W = W_0 + \sum_i A_i e^{-a_i T_i}, \tag{63}$$

where A_i is a model dependent constant and again W_0 is the value of the superpotential due to the geometric flux after stabilizing the dilaton and the complex structure moduli. In this respect, the scalar potential will take the form [41, 55]

$$\begin{aligned} V &= e^K\left[K^{T_j\bar{T}_k}\left(a_j A_j a_k \bar{A}_k e^{(a_j T_j + a_k \bar{T}_k)} + a_j A_j e^{i a_j T_j}\bar{W}\partial_{\bar{T}_k}K - a_k\bar{A}_k e^{-i a_k \bar{T}_k}W\partial_{T_j}K\right)\right. \\ &+ \left. 3\xi\frac{(\xi^2 + 7\xi\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{V}^2)}{(\mathcal{V}-\xi)(2\mathcal{V}+\xi)^2}|W|^2\right] \\ &\equiv V_{np1} + V_{np2} + V_{\alpha'}. \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

where the α' correction is encoded in $V_{\alpha'}$. The simplest example that can realize the notion of LVS [75, 57] is the orientifold of $P^4_{[1,1,1,6,9]}$ for which $h^{1,1} = 2$ and $h^{2,1} = 272$ and therefor the volume is given by

$$\mathcal{V} = \frac{1}{9\sqrt{2}}\left(\tau_5^{\frac{3}{2}} - \tau_4^{\frac{3}{2}}\right), \tag{65}$$

where the volume moduli are $T_4 = \tau_4 + i\psi_4$ and $T_4 = \tau_5 + i\psi_5$ and the link to t_i is given by $\tau_4 = \frac{t_1^2}{2}$ and $\tau_5 = \frac{(t_1+6t_5)^2}{2}$. In this respect, the Kähler potential and the superpotential, after fixing the dilaton and complex structure moduli, are given in the string frame by

$$\begin{aligned} K &= K_{cs} - 2\log\left(\mathcal{V} + \frac{\xi}{2}\right), \\ W &= W_0 + A_4 e^{-\frac{a_4}{g_s}T_4} + A_5 e^{-\frac{a_5}{g_s}T_5}. \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$




Figure 4: *Swiss Cheese structure in the Large Volume Scenario.*

In the large volume limit, $\mathcal{V} \sim \tau_5 \gg \tau_4 > 1$, the behavior of the scalar potential is given by [75, 57]

$$V(\mathcal{V}, \tau_4) = \frac{\sqrt{\tau_4}(a_4 A_4)^2 e^{-2a_4\tau_4/g_s}}{\mathcal{V}} - \frac{W_0 \tau_4 a_4 A_4 e^{-a_4\tau_4/g_s}}{\mathcal{V}^2} + \frac{\xi W_0^2}{\mathcal{V}^3}. \tag{67}$$

Minimizing the potential (67)

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \mathcal{V}} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \tau_4} = 0. \tag{68}$$

and solving the two equations in the two variables, $\tau_4, \mathcal{V}$ one can get one equation in τ_4

$$\left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{3B_3B_1}{B_2\tau_4^{\frac{3}{2}}}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} - 2a_4\tau_4\right) = (1 - a_4\tau_4), \tag{69}$$

where

$$B_1 \sim a_4^2|A_4|^2, \qquad B_2 \sim a_4|A_4W_0|, \qquad B_3 \sim \xi|W_0|^2. \tag{70}$$

Using the assumption $a_4\tau_4 \gg 1$, which is necessary to neglect higher order instanton corrections [75], the solution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_4 &= \left(\frac{4B_3B_1}{B_2^2}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}, \\ \mathcal{V} &= \frac{B_2}{2B_1}\left(\frac{4B_3B_1}{B_2^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} e^{a_4\left(\frac{4B_3B_1}{B_2^2}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

Substituting for B_i by their expressions we have

$$\tau_4 \sim (4\xi)^{\frac{2}{3}}, \qquad \mathcal{V} \sim \frac{\xi^{\frac{1}{3}}|W_0|}{a_4A_4}e^{a_4\tau_4/g_s}. \tag{72}$$

Therefor potential possesses an AdS minimum at exponentially large volume $\mathcal{V}$ since it approaches zero from below in the limit $\tau_5 \to \infty$ and $\tau_4 \propto \log(\mathcal{V})$. Namely, in the latter limit, the potential have the form

$$V = \frac{W_0^2}{\mathcal{V}^3}\left(C_1\sqrt{\ln(\mathcal{V})} - C_2\ln(\mathcal{V}) + \xi\mathcal{V}\right). \tag{73}$$

Therefor, the negativity of the potential require a very large $\ln(\mathcal{V})$. Still one has to uplift this minimum by one of the mechanisms mentioned in Section (2). This result can be generalized to more than two moduli where one of them takes a large limit while the other moduli stays small. This structure will form what is called the *Swiss-cheese* form of the CY manifold as depicted in Figure 4. In this respect the volume will take the form

$$\mathcal{V} = \tau_b^{3/2} - \sum_i \tau_{s,i}^{3/2}. \tag{74}$$

4 SUSY breaking and phenomenological consequences

In this section we will study SUSY breaking in the moduli sector and the properties of the corresponding soft terms[3] that are induced in the observable sector then we summarize the phenomenological consequences. SUSY breaking in models of KKLT compactification type with phenomenological consequences has been extensively studied in [50, 51, 46, 47], while for LVS type, SUSY breaking was studied in [57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62].

i) Soft terms in KKLT scenario:

As shown in models of KKLT type in Section (2), SUSY is broken by one of the uplifting mechanisms. The gravitino mass at the dS minimum is given by

$$m_{3/2} = e^{K/2}|W|\Big|_{dS} \Rightarrow m_{3/2} \simeq \frac{a\ A}{3(2\tau_0)^{1/2}}e^{-a\tau_0} \simeq \frac{W_0}{(2\tau_0)^{3/2}}. \tag{75}$$

[3] More general expressions of soft term for generic superpotentials and Kähler potentials in supergravity and string models, are given in [52].

Therefor we have gravitino mass of order TeV if $(a\tau_0) \sim 32$ and hence $W_0 \sim 10^{-12}$. In terms of the shifts ε (43) of τ_0, an approximate expression for $D_T W$ near the dS minimum is given by

$$D_T W(\tau_0 + \varepsilon) = (D_T W)_\tau \varepsilon \simeq W_{T,T}\ \varepsilon = \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{a\sqrt{\tau_0}}\ m_{3/2}, \tag{76}$$

which is the same order as the gravitino mass. Accordingly, the soft SUSY breaking terms are given by

$$\begin{aligned} m_0^2 &= \frac{|W|^2}{(2\tau)^3}\Big|_{dS} = m_{3/2}^2, \\ m_{1/2} &= \frac{\sqrt{2\tau}}{6} D_T W(T)\ \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \ln(\mathrm{Re} f^*)\Big|_{dS} \simeq \frac{m_{3/2}}{a\tau}, \\ A_0 &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\tau}} \bar{D}_{\bar{T}} \overline{W_h}\Big|_{dS} = -\frac{3m_{3/2}}{a\tau}, \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

where the gauge kinetic function f_{ab} can be chosen such that $f_{ab}(T) = f(T)\delta_{ab}$, which will lead to universal gaugino masses, is considered to have a linear dependance on the modulus field that can be derived from the reduction of Dirac-Born-Infeld action for an unmagnetized brane [60].

The soft terms indicate that SUSY breaking in KKLT is a special example of the constrained MSSM (CMSSM), where all the soft terms are given in terms of one free parameter ($m_{3/2}$) which is of order TeV. Note that $a\tau_0$ is fixed as $a\tau_0 \simeq 32$. It is well known that this type of soft terms can't account for the experimental constraints imposed by the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) and relic abundance of the lightest SUSY particle. Even if we relax the Dark matter constraints, the mass limit (~ 125 GeV) and gluino mass limit ($\gtrsim 1.4$ TeV) will imply $m_{3/2} \simeq \mathcal{O}(30)$ TeV. Thus all SUSY spectrum will be quite heavy which is beyond the LHC sensitivity. A feature of this model is the fact that gauginos are lighter than the sfermions by at least one order of magnitude. However if one checks the parameter space for such set of soft terms, he finds that tadpole equations at the TeV scale are not satisfied. Namely, the condition [63]

$$\mu^2 + \frac{M_Z^2}{2} \simeq -0.1 m_0^2 + 2 m_{1/2}^2, \tag{78}$$

can not account for positive μ^2. Therefor the above set of soft terms failed to describe TeV scale phenomenology.

ii) Soft terms in LVS scenario

Let us now consider the same scenario for SUSY breaking in LVS model, namely with $P^4_{[1,1,1,6,9]}$ geometry. In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned} K &= -2\log\left(\mathcal{V} + \frac{\xi}{2}\right), \\ W &= W_0 + A_4 e^{-\frac{a_4}{g_s} T_4} + A_5 e^{-\frac{a_5}{g_s} T_5}. \end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

Therefor the gravitino mass will be given by

$$m_{3/2} = e^{K/2}|W|\Big|_{dS} \sim \frac{g_s^2|W_0|}{\mathcal{V}\sqrt{4\pi}}M_p. \tag{80}$$

It is remarkable that depending on the large volume $\mathcal{V}$, the gravitino mass could be TeV or much larger. Considering the Kähler metric of the observable sector to have the form $\tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \tilde{K}_\alpha \delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$, with $\tilde{K}_\alpha$ is constant, we have scalar soft masses of the following form

$$m_\alpha^2 = m_{3/2}^2, \tag{81}$$

where we have neglected the very tiny cosmological constant value V_0. In the large volume limit, $\mathcal{V} \sim \tau_5 \equiv \tau_b \gg \tau_4 \equiv \tau_s \gg 1$, the Kähler metric and its inverse are given by

$$K_{m\bar{n}} \simeq \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} & -\frac{1}{\mathcal{V}^{5/3}} \\ -\frac{1}{\mathcal{V}^{5/3}} & \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}^{4/3}} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad K^{m\bar{n}} \simeq \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{V} & \mathcal{V}^{2/3} \\ \mathcal{V}^{2/3} & \mathcal{V}^{4/3} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{82}$$

The F-terms can be calculated from

$$F^m = e^{K/2}K^{m\bar{n}}D_{\bar{n}}W. \tag{83}$$

Hence the approximate dependence of the F-terms on the volume, is given by

$$F^4 \sim \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}}, \qquad F^5 \sim \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}^{1/3}}. \tag{84}$$

Consider a linear dependence of the gauge kinetic function on the Kähler moduli [60], therefor the gaugino masses are given by

$$m_{1/2} \sim \frac{g_s^2 W_0 M_p}{\sqrt{4\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}^{5/3}}\right). \tag{85}$$

Also the universal A-term is given by

$$A_0 \simeq F^m K_m \sim \frac{-g_s^2 W_0 M_p}{\sqrt{4\pi}\mathcal{V}}. \tag{86}$$

Accordingly, at large volumes $\mathcal{V} \sim 10^{13} - 10^{15}$ the soft masses are related to the gravitino mass as follows

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 &\simeq m_{1/2} = m_{3/2}, \\ A_0 &\simeq -m_{3/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

These soft terms are generated at string scale, therefor we have to run them to the electroweak (EW) scale and impose the EW symmetry breaking conditions to analyze the corresponding spectrum. In this case the condition (78) reads

$$\mu^2 + \frac{M_Z^2}{2} \simeq -0.1m_{3/2}^2 + 2m_{3/2}^2, \tag{88}$$

which can be satisfied easily at the TeV scale. The above set of soft terms is special case of CMSSM. Therefor, the Higgs mass limit requires $m_{1/2}$ to be of order 1.5 TeV, as shown in Figure 5, where the Higgs mass m_h is plotted versus the gravitino mass $m_{3/2}$. With such heavy values of m_0 and $m_{1/2}$, the SUSY spectrum will be quite heavy and the relic abundance of the lightest neutralino is not consistent with Planck's results [64].




Figure 5: *Higgs mass m_h as a function of the gravitino mass $m_{3/2}$. The region in left to the green line is disallowed by the gluino mass constraint, while the area between the red lines shows the region for which the Higgs mass lies between $124 - 126$ GeV.*

5 Cosmological consequences

One of the important consequences of the moduli stabilization is its effect on inflation. It turned out that the scalar potential of the modulus field in KKLT is not suitable to account for single-field inflation since the modulus potential is not flat enough to allow for slow roll [84]. Namely, the real part is not protected by a shift symmetry which will result in an η-problem. Although the axionic part is subjected to shift symmetry, the field dependent mass matrix is not diagonal with a significant mixing. Therefor the shift symmetry is violated and the η-problem persists.[4]

In this section we discuss how this problem can be evaded in what is called the *racetrack superpotential*. Also, inflation via kähler moduli is discussed. In addition we explain the destabilization problem that arises in KKLT and LVS. Finally we study the effect of moduli backreaction on inflationary scenarios.

5.1 Racetrack inflationary model

In the racetrack model, the superpotential is given by [84]

$$W = W_0 + Ae^{-aT} + Be^{-bT}. \tag{89}$$

where the additional non-perturbative term may be obtained from gaugino condensation in a theory with a product of gauge groups such as $SU(N) \times SU(M)$ gauge group, hence $a = 2\pi/M$ and $b = 2\pi/N$. This is known as the racetrack model. In this case, the global SUSY minimum is located at

$$T_0 = \frac{MN}{M-N} \log\left(-\frac{MB}{NA}\right) \tag{90}$$

[4]For a review for string inflation in the light of recent observations see for example [86].




Figure 6: *The racetrack scalar potential (left panel) and (right panel) corresponds to the variation of the potential in the neighborhood of the saddle point (all are multiplied by* 10^{16}*).The parameter values are* $A = 0.02$, $B = 0.035$, $a = \frac{2\pi}{100}$, $b = \frac{2\pi}{90}$, $E_2 = 4.14668 \times 10^{-12}$ *and* $W_0 = -4 \times 10^{-5}$.

The inflationary potential is given by the F-term scalar potential added to the uplifting term as follows

$$V_{\rm inf} = \frac{E_2}{\tau^2} + \frac{e^{-4\tau(a+b)}}{6\tau^2}\Bigg\{aA^2(a\tau+3)e^{2\tau(a+2b)} + e^{3\tau(a+b)}\Big[AB(2ab\tau+3(a+b))\left(\cos(\tau(a-b))\right) + 3aAW_0e^{b\tau}\cos(a\psi) + 3bBW_0e^{a\tau}\cos(b\psi)\Big] + bB^2(b\tau+3)e^{2\tau(2a+b)}\Bigg\}, \tag{91}$$

Figure 6 shows the scalar potential with two degenerate minima and one saddle point located at $\psi_{saddle} = 0$. At this saddle point, the potential has minimum in τ direction and maximum in ψ direction . The inflaton is considered to be slowly rolling from initial conditions near the saddle point on the inflationary trajectory. Namely, the initial motion is in the ψ direction and the inflationary path is determined numerically [84].

The racetrack inflation model predicts spectral index $n_s = 0.96$, inflation scale $M_{\rm inf} \sim 10^{14}$ GeV and tiny tensor to scalar ratio $r \simeq (\frac{M_{\rm inf}}{M_{\rm GUT}})^4 \sim 10^{-8}$.

5.2 Kähler moduli inflation in the LVS

The idea of Kähler moduli inflation [85] is to produce an inflationary potential similar to the form

$$V_{\rm inf}(\varphi) = V_0(1 - k\beta e^{-k\varphi}), \tag{92}$$

where β and k are positive constants.

In the case of multi-modulus Calabi-Yau geometries, the Calabi-Yau volume can take the form

$$\mathcal{V} = \frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{2}} \left[(T+\bar{T})^{3/2} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j (T_j + \bar{T}_j)^{3/2} \right], \tag{93}$$

where $T = \tau + i\psi$ is responsible for the large volume and $T_j = \tau_j + i\psi_j$ with τ_j are the blow-ups. Here α and λ_j are model dependent positive constants. In this case the Kähler potential is given by

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_{cs} - 2\ln\left[\mathcal{V} + \frac{\xi}{2}\right], \tag{94}$$

while the superpotential is given by

$$W = W_0 + \sum_{i=2}^{n} A_i e^{-a_i T_i}, \tag{95}$$

where $a_i = \frac{2\pi}{g_s N}$. The minimum of the scalar potential exists at the large volume limit $\mathcal{V} \sim \tau \gg \tau_j$ and the scalar potential has the form [85]

$$V = \sum_i \frac{8(a_i A_i)^2 \sqrt{\tau_i}}{3\mathcal{V}\lambda_i \alpha} e^{-2a_i\tau_i} - \sum_i 4\frac{a_i A_i}{\mathcal{V}^2} W_0 \tau_i e^{-a_i\tau_i} + \frac{3\xi W_0^2}{4\mathcal{V}^3}. \tag{96}$$

The inflation can occur away from the minimum and the inflaton is considered to be one of the small moduli τ_n. The volume $\mathcal{V}$ and the small moduli, other than the inflaton, is guaranteed to stabilize to their minima during the inflation [85], therefor the inflationary potential is given by [85]

$$V_{\text{inf}} = V_0 - \frac{4a_n A_n W_0 \tau_n e^{-k\varphi}}{\mathcal{V}^2}, \tag{97}$$

with $V_0 = \frac{\beta W_0}{\mathcal{V}^3}$ which is constant during the inflation. This model predicts $0,967 > n_s > 0.960$ and $r \sim 10^{-10}$ for number of e-folding $50 < N_e < 60$ with inflationary scale $M_{\text{inf}} \sim 10^{13}$ GeV.

5.3 Destabilization problem

In the KKLT scenario, the modulus mass is given by [51]

$$m_\tau^2 = \frac{V''(\tau)}{2K_{T\bar{T}}}\Big|_{\tau_0} = \frac{2}{9}(D_T W)_\tau (\tau W_{TT} - 2W_T)\Big|_{\tau_0}. \tag{98}$$

Therefor it is linked to $m_{3/2}$ by the relation

$$m_\tau = \frac{\sqrt{2\tau}}{9} W_{TT}\Big|_{\tau_0} = 2a\tau_0 m_{3/2}, \tag{99}$$

which is approximately two order of magnitude greater than the TeV scale gravitino mass. In case there is an inflaton field different from modulus field with mass $\sim 10^{13}$ GeV, then the modulus may perturb GUT scale inflation. It turns out that a problem arises due to the conflict between the requirement of a high energy scale (GUT scale) inflationary phenomena and low energy (TeV scale) SUSY phenomenology in the context of KKLT. The conflict is stemming from the constraint [42]




Figure 7: *The purple curve shows the dS vacuum, while the green one displays the destabilization of the modulus due to large contribution of vacuum energy density.*

$$m_{3/2} \gtrsim H, \tag{100}$$

where H is the Hubble parameter. This constraint originates from the fact that the barrier V_B from the runaway direction, as exhibited in Figure 7, is a bit less than the magnitude of the AdS minimum $|V_0^{AdS}|$ since the uplift effect is negligible for large τ. Hence we have

$$V_B \lesssim V_0^{AdS} \simeq 3m_{3/2}^2. \tag{101}$$

Therefor, recovering the Planck mass, we have

$$V_B \sim m_{3/2}^2 M_p^2. \tag{102}$$

If we included an inflaton, there will be a contribution to the overall inflationary potential by a term of the form $V(\varphi)/\tau^n$ due to the fact that the modulus couples to all sources of energy. Accordingly, the total inflationary potential at the KKLT dS minimum is given by

$$V_{inf} = V_{dS}(\tau) + \frac{V(\varphi)}{\tau^n} \simeq \frac{V(\varphi)}{\tau^n}. \tag{103}$$

In this case there will be a competition between the runaway dependence τ^{-n} and the barrier V_B. Therefor, protecting the volume modulus from destabilization will impose the condition

$$V_{inf} \lesssim 3V_B \sim 3m_{3/2}^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad m_{3/2} \gtrsim H. \tag{104}$$

On the other hand, in the LVS case there is an extra suppression by large volume $\mathcal{V}$ [44]

$$V_B \sim \frac{m_{3/2}^2 M_p^2}{\mathcal{V}} \sim m_{3/2}^3 M_p, \tag{105}$$

and correspondingly we have the constraint

$$H \lesssim m_{3/2}^{3/2}, \tag{106}$$

which will not improve the situation much. In this regard, TeV scale gravitino mass which is favored by low energy phenomenology imply disfavored non-traditional low scale inflation. The property of $H \lesssim m_{3/2}$ seems to be a common property in inflationary models of stabilization scenarios in string theories [44, 43, 87]. This problem is sometimes called the *Kallosh-Linde* problem. The latter originates from the fact that compatible large scale models of inflation requires a very large gravitino mass where

$$m_\varphi \ll H \lesssim m_{3/2}. \tag{107}$$

The KL model and strong moduli stabilization: The problem of destabilization can be evaded in Models of strong moduli stabilization like the KL model [42]. In this scenario the volume is still determined by one modulus T but there is additional non-perturbative term contributing to the racetrack superpotential

$$W \;=\; W_0 + Ae^{-aT} - Be^{-bT}. \tag{108}$$

In this respect, the imaginary part stabilizes at the origin again, whereas the potential of the real part τ is given by

$$V(\tau) = \frac{e^{-2\tau(a+b)}\left(aAe^{b\tau} - bBe^{a\tau}\right)\left(e^{b\tau}\left(A(a\tau+3) + 3\mathrm{w}0e^{a\tau}\right) - Be^{a\tau}(b\tau+3)\right)}{6\tau^2}. \tag{109}$$

This potential possesses two minima, one is a metastable supersymmetric Minkowski vacuum at

$$\tau_0 = \frac{1}{a-b}\ln\left(\frac{aA}{bB}\right), \tag{110}$$

and the other is a deeper AdS one as shown in Figure 8. The KL modulus squared mass is given by [51]

$$m_\tau^2 = \frac{2aAbB(a-b)}{9}\left(\frac{aA}{bB}\right)^{-\frac{a+b}{a-b}}\ln\left(\frac{aA}{bB}\right). \tag{111}$$




Figure 8: *The F-term scalar potential (multiplied by* 10^7*) for KL model possesses both metastable Minkowski vacuum which is supersymmetric and another AdS minimum, For* $A = B = 1$*,* $a = 0.1$*,* $b = 0.05$*.*

Therefor, for $A = B = 1$, $a = 0.1$, $b = 0.05$, we find $m_\tau \sim 4 \times 10^{15}$ GeV which means that the volume modulus mass is much larger than inflaton mass ($m_\varphi \sim 10^{13}$ GeV) and accordingly will be frozen quickly during the inflation without perturbing the inflaton dynamics. Clearly this is much featured than the KKLT cases since the gravitino mass vanishes at the SUSY minimum. Therefor the hight of the barrier from the runaway direction are independent on the gravitino mass hence the Hubble parameter is also independent of the gravitino mass. In this case, KL model can account for high scale inflation.

In order to obtain interesting phenomenology at the TeV scale for SUSY breaking without conflict with the high scale inflation requirements, a constant shift ΔW is added to the superpotential [51] and it is supposed to be of the order of the weak scale. In this case the value of τ minimizing the potential will be shifted to $\tau_0 + \delta\tau$. The SUSY vacuum is obtained by solving the equation of motion

$$D_T W(\tau_0 + \delta\tau) = 0, \tag{112}$$

which implies

$$\delta\tau = \frac{3\Delta W}{2\tau_0 W_{T,T}(\tau_0)}. \tag{113}$$

Correspondingly, the AdS minimum is independent of the sign of ΔW and is approximated to

$$V_0^{AdS} \simeq -\frac{3(\Delta W)^2}{8\tau_0^3}, \tag{114}$$

where the above expressions are obtained using $W_T(\tau_0) = 0$, $W(\tau_0) = \Delta W$ and $W_T(\tau_0 + \delta\tau) = \delta\tau\ W_{T,T}(\tau_0)$. The AdS vacuum can be uplifted to dS one by mechanisms similar to those in KKLT model and hence SUSY will be broken with the gravitino becomes massive. In this respect, we have the gravitino mass is given in terms ΔW as

$$m_{3/2} \simeq \sqrt{\frac{|V_0^{AdS}|}{3}} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{a-b}{\ln\left(\frac{aA}{bB}\right)} \right)^{3/2} |\Delta W|. \tag{115}$$

It is worth mentioning that the uplifting effect here is so small and can't exceed the barrier between the dS and the AdS vacua which is one of the strengths of this model. On the other hand, a weakness of the approach is the lack of interpretation of the origin of the scale of the shift ΔW which should be around 10^{-13} if we are seeking TeV scale gravitino mass.

5.4 Impact of string moduli backreaction

Here we give a brief overview of the effect of string moduli backadation on the inflation models which is linked to SUSY breaking scale. To study such effects on the inflation, models of strong moduli stabilization are favored like the KL model since the moduli are heavy, in particular the modulus mass is larger than the Hubble parameter. The moduli backreaction effect on the inflation and its link to SUSY breaking was studied in many research papers [77, 78, 79, 80, 81]. For a single modulus field case, the impact of the stabilized volume modulus field on large and small field inflation was studied in [77]. In this respect, the total Kähler potential and superpotential are given by

$$K = K_{\rm mod}(T + \bar{T}) + K_{\rm inf}(\phi_\alpha, \bar{\phi}_{\bar{\alpha}}) = -\kappa \log(T + \bar{T}) + K_{\rm inf}, \tag{116}$$

$$W = W_{\rm mod}(T) + W_{\rm inf}(\phi_\alpha), \tag{117}$$

where ϕ_α are chiral superfields related to the inflationary scenario and the constant $\kappa = 1$ for heterotic dilaton and $\kappa = 3$ for type IIB Kähler modulus. Accordingly the F-term scalar potential can be written as

$$V = e^{K_{\rm inf}} V_{\rm mod}(T) + (2\tau)^{-\kappa} V_{\rm inf}(\phi_\alpha) + e^K \tilde{V}(T, \phi_\alpha), \tag{118}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\rm mod} &= e^{K_{\rm mod}} \left[K^{T\bar{T}} |D_T W_{\rm mod}|^2 - 3|W_{\rm mod}|^2 \right] \\ V_{\rm inf} &= e^{K_{\rm inf}} \left[K^{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} |D_\alpha W_{\rm inf}|^2 - 3|W_{\rm inf}|^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{119}$$

In this case the potential is minimized at $\tau_0 + \delta T$ due to the effect of the inflationary large positive energy density where τ_0 corresponding the SUSY Minkowski minimum if the scenario contain moduli only. Since the modulus is very heavy it stabilizes quickly to its minimum and the inflationary potential get corrections after setting T to its minimum

as follows [77]

$$V = (2\tau_0)^{-3}V_{\rm inf} - \frac{\kappa}{2(2\tau_0)^{3\kappa/3}m_T}\left\{W_{\rm inf}\Big[V_{\rm inf} + e^{K_{\rm inf}}K^{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}\partial_\alpha W_{\rm inf}D_{\bar{\alpha}}\overline{W}_{\rm inf}\Big] + h.c.\right\}$$
$$- \frac{\kappa e^{K_{\rm inf}}}{(2\tau_0)^{2\kappa}m_T^2}\left|K^{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}D_\alpha W_{\rm inf}\partial_{\bar{\alpha}}\overline{W}_{\rm inf}\right| \quad (120)$$

Now consider a case of small field inflation such as the F-term Hybrid inflation [82], where the Kähler potential and superpotential are given by

$$K_{\rm inf} = |\varphi|^2 + |\phi_1|^2 + |\phi_2|^2, \quad (121)$$
$$W = \lambda\varphi\left(M^2 - \phi_1\phi_2\right), \quad (122)$$

where φ is the inflaton and ϕ_1, ϕ_2 correspond to the waterfall fields. The hybrid inflation scenario supposes that for values of $\varphi > \varphi_c = M$, the potential is minimized at $\phi_1 = \phi_2 = 0$ and therefor effectively the $W_{\rm inf}$ is given by

$$W_{\rm inf} = \lambda M^2\varphi. \quad (123)$$

Accordingly, the corrected inflationary potential due to the moduli backreaction will contain a linear term in the inflaton [77]

$$V_{\rm inf}(\varphi) = V_0\left[1 - \frac{2\kappa\sqrt{V_0}}{m_T}{\rm Re}(\varphi)\right] + \Delta V_1 + V_{\rm sug}, \quad (124)$$

where ΔV_1 is the one-loop correction to the potential and $V_{\rm sug}$ are contributions due to supergravity which can be neglected. Here V_0 is the rescaled vacuum energy during inflation given by $V_0 = \tilde{\lambda}^2M^4$, with $\tilde{\lambda}^2 = \frac{\lambda^2}{(2\tau_0)^\kappa}$. In this case the value of the spectral index can be improved $n_s = 0.96$ compared to its value in the pure hybrid scenarios $n_s = 0.98$ and so we don't need to go to non-minimal Kähler scenario [82].

Another example is the large field inflation which was successfully embedded in supergravity. In this case, a shift symmetry is used to avoid the η-problem as well as considering stabilizer field S [83]. In this regard consider a form of the Kähler potential and superpotential given by

$$K_{\rm inf} = -\frac{1}{2}|\varphi - \bar{\varphi}|^2 + |S|^2 - \zeta|S|^4, \quad (125)$$
$$W_{\rm inf} = Sf(\varphi), \quad (126)$$

where the quartic term in Kähler potential causes the stabilizer S to get mass much larger than the Hubble parameter and so stabilizes quickly to the origin. The Kähler potential is independent of the real part ${\rm Re}(\varphi) = \varphi_r$ due to the shift symmetry and therefor it will correspond to the inflaton. The S field and the imaginary part of φ will stabilize at zero and hence the inflationary potential (which is given in pure inflation scenario case by $V(\varphi_r) = |f(\varphi_r)|^2$) is corrected as follows [77]

$$V(\varphi_r) = \tilde{V}\left[1 - \kappa\frac{\tilde{V}}{m_T^2} - \kappa^2\frac{\tilde{V}^2}{m_T^2m_S^2}\right], \quad (127)$$

where $\tilde{V}(\varphi_r) = \frac{|f(\varphi_r)|^2}{(2\tau_0)^\kappa}$. For $f(\varphi) = m\varphi$, we get the case chaotic inflation. It is worth noting that the leading correction term here is proportional to $\frac{1}{m_T^2}$ whereas in the case of hybrid inflation it is $\frac{1}{m_T}$.

6 Conclusions

In this mini-review we have analyzed the problem of moduli stabilization in type IIB string theory with positive vacuum energy. We focused on KKLT and large volume scenarios, where geometrical fluxes and non-perturbative superpotentials are required to stabilize complex structure moduli, dilation and Kähler moduli. We also discussed some possible mechanisms for uplifting the AdS minimum and making it a metastable de Sitter ground state. We have derived the soft SUSY breaking terms in these models. We showed that in KKLT these terms are not consistent with electroweak breaking conditions and hence they are not phenomenologically viable. While, in LVS we found that the scalar masses, gaugino masses and trilinear terms are universal and are given in terms of gravitino mass $m_{3/2}$. We emphasized that a very heavy spectrum with $m_{3/2} \sim 1.5$ TeV is required to account for the lightest Higgs mass limit. However, in this case the relic abundance of the lightest neutralino is not consistent with the measured limits. We also studied inflation scenarios associated with the moduli stabilization. We considered two examples of racetrack and Kähler inflation. Finally, we commented on the problem of moduli destabilization and moduli backreaction effects on inflation.

7 Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the STDF project 13858 and the ICTP grant AC-80. A. M. would like to thank W. Abdallah for useful discussions.

References

[1] A. D. Dolgov, "Long range forces in the universe," Phys. Rept. **320**, 1 (1999).

[2] P. Candelas, G. T. Horowitz, A. Strominger and E. Witten, "Vacuum Configurations for Superstrings," Nucl. Phys. B **258**, 46 (1985).

[3] A. Strominger and E. Witten, "New Manifolds for Superstring Compactification," Commun. Math. Phys. **101**, 341 (1985).

[4] F. Denef, "Les Houches Lectures on Constructing String Vacua," arXiv:0803.1194 [hep-th].

[5] F. Denef, M. R. Douglas and S. Kachru, "Physics of String Flux Compactifications," Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **57**, 119 (2007) [hep-th/0701050].

[6] R. Bousso and J. Polchinski, "Quantization of four form fluxes and dynamical neutralization of the cosmological constant," JHEP **0006**, 006 (2000) [hep-th/0004134].

[7] J. M. Maldacena and C. Nunez, "Supergravity description of field theories on curved manifolds and a no go theorem," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **16**, 822 (2001) [hep-th/0007018].

[8] B. de Wit, D. J. Smit and N. D. Hari Dass, "Residual Supersymmetry of Compactified D=10 Supergravity," Nucl. Phys. B **283**, 165 (1987).

[9] N. Seiberg, "Naturalness versus supersymmetric nonrenormalization theorems," Phys. Lett. B **318**, 469 (1993) [hep-ph/9309335].

[10] E. Cremmer, S. Ferrara, C. Kounnas, D.V. Nanopoulos, "Naturally Vanishing Cosmological Constant in N=1 Supergravity," Phys.Lett. **B133** 61 (1983); J.R. Ellis, A.B. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos, K. Tamvakis, "No-Scale Supersymmetric Standard Model," Phys.Lett. **B134** 429 (1984); R. Barbieri, E. Cremmer, S. Ferrara, "Flat and Positive Potentials in $N = 1$ Supergravity" Phys.Lett. **B163** 143 (1985).

[11] A. Strominger, "Superstrings with Torsion," Nucl. Phys. B **274**, 253 (1986).

[12] S. Gukov, C. Vafa and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **584**, 69 (2000) [Nucl. Phys. B **608**, 477 (2001)] [hep-th/9906070].

[13] J. Polchinski, "String Theory," Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1998.

[14] J. Wess and J. Bagger, "Supersymmetry and supergravity," Princeton, USA: Univ. Pr. (1992) 259 p; D. Bailin and A. Love, "Supersymmetric gauge field theory and string theory," Bristol, UK: IOP (1994) 322 p. (Graduate student series in physics);

[15] L. E. Ibanez and A. M. Uranga, "String theory and particle physics: An introduction to string phenomenology," Cambridge, UK: Univ. Pr. (2012) 673 p.

[16] S. B. Giddings, S. Kachru and J. Polchinski, "Hierarchies from fluxes in string compactifications," Phys. Rev. D **66**, 106006 (2002) [hep-th/0105097].

[17] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. D. Linde and S. P. Trivedi, "De Sitter vacua in string theory," Phys. Rev. D **68**, 046005 (2003) [hep-th/0301240].

[18] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. D. Linde, J. M. Maldacena, L. P. McAllister and S. P. Trivedi, "Towards inflation in string theory," JCAP **0310**, 013 (2003) [hep-th/0308055].

[19] C. P. Burgess, M. Majumdar, D. Nolte, F. Quevedo, G. Rajesh and R. J. Zhang, "The Inflationary brane anti-brane universe," JHEP **0107**, 047 (2001) [hep-th/0105204].

[20] M. Grana, "Flux compactifications in string theory: A Comprehensive review," Phys. Rept. **423**, 91 (2006) [hep-th/0509003].

[21] G. R. Dvali, Q. Shafi and S. Solganik, "D-brane inflation," [hep-th/0105203].

[22] E. Witten, "New Issues in Manifolds of SU(3) Holonomy," Nucl. Phys. B **268**, 79 (1986).

[23] K. Dasgupta, C. Herdeiro, S. Hirano and R. Kallosh, "D3 / D7 inflationary model and M theory," Phys. Rev. D **65**, 126002 (2002) [hep-th/0203019]; K. Dasgupta, J. P. Hsu, R. Kallosh, A. D. Linde and M. Zagermann, "D3/D7 brane inflation and semilocal strings," JHEP **0408**, 030 (2004) [hep-th/0405247]; M. Haack, R. Kallosh, A. Krause, A. D. Linde, D. Lust and M. Zagermann, "Update of D3/D7-Brane Inflation on K3 x T**2/Z(2)," Nucl. Phys. B **806**, 103 (2009) [arXiv:0804.3961 [hep-th]]; C. P. Burgess, J. M. Cline and M. Postma, "Axionic D3-D7 Inflation," JHEP **0903**, 058 (2009) [arXiv:0811.1503 [hep-th]].

[24] M. Dine and N. Seiberg, "Nonrenormalization Theorems in Superstring Theory," Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 2625 (1986).

[25] M. Dine, R. Rohm, N. Seiberg and E. Witten, "Gluino Condensation in Superstring Models," Phys. Lett. B **156**, 55 (1985).

[26] M. R. Douglas and S. Kachru, "Flux compactification," Rev. Mod. Phys. **79**, 733 (2007) [hep-th/0610102].

[27] R. Blumenhagen, B. Kors, D. Lust and S. Stieberger, "Four-dimensional String Compactifications with D-Branes, Orientifolds and Fluxes," Phys. Rept. **445**, 1 (2007) [hep-th/0610327].

[28] C. P. Burgess, R. Kallosh and F. Quevedo, "De Sitter string vacua from supersymmetric D terms," JHEP **0310**, 056 (2003) [hep-th/0309187].

[29] G. Villadoro and F. Zwirner, "De-Sitter vacua via consistent D-terms," Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 231602 (2005) [hep-th/0508167].

[30] A. Achucarro, B. de Carlos, J. A. Casas and L. Doplicher, "De Sitter vacua from uplifting D-terms in effective supergravities from realistic strings," JHEP **0606**, 014 (2006) [hep-th/0601190].

[31] E. Dudas and Y. Mambrini, "Moduli stabilization with positive vacuum energy," JHEP **0610**, 044 (2006) [hep-th/0607077].

[32] M. Haack, D. Krefl, D. Lust, A. Van Proeyen and M. Zagermann, "Gaugino Condensates and D-terms from D7-branes," JHEP **0701**, 078 (2007) [hep-th/0609211].

[33] C. P. Burgess, J. M. Cline, K. Dasgupta and H. Firouzjahi, "Uplifting and Inflation with D3 Branes," JHEP **0703**, 027 (2007) [hep-th/0610320].

[34] R. Kallosh and A. D. Linde, "O'kklt," JHEP **0702**, 002 (2007) [hep-th/0611183].

[35] O. Lebedev, H. P. Nilles and M. Ratz, "De Sitter vacua from matter superpotentials," Phys. Lett. B **636**, 126 (2006) [hep-th/0603047].

[36] L. E. Ibanez, C. Munoz and S. Rigolin, "Aspect of type I string phenomenology," Nucl. Phys. B **553**, 43 (1999) [hep-ph/9812397].

[37] A. Awad, N. Chamoun and S. Khalil, "On flux compactification and moduli stabilization," Phys. Lett. B **635**, 136 (2006) [hep-th/0510196].

[38] A. Westphal, "de Sitter string vacua from Kahler uplifting," JHEP **0703**, 102 (2007) [hep-th/0611332].

[39] M. Rummel and A. Westphal, "A sufficient condition for de Sitter vacua in type IIB string theory," JHEP **1201**, 020 (2012) [arXiv:1107.2115 [hep-th]].

[40] J. Louis, M. Rummel, R. Valandro and A. Westphal, "Building an explicit de Sitter," JHEP **1210** (2012) 163 [arXiv:1208.3208 [hep-th]].

[41] K. Becker, M. Becker, M. Haack and J. Louis, "Supersymmetry breaking and alpha-prime corrections to flux induced potentials," JHEP **0206**, 060 (2002) [hep-th/0204254].

[42] R. Kallosh and A. D. Linde, "Landscape, the scale of SUSY breaking, and inflation," JHEP **0412**, 004 (2004) [hep-th/0411011]; R. Kallosh and A. D. Linde, "Testing String Theory with CMB," JCAP **0704**, 017 (2007) [hep-th/0704.0647].

[43] E. Silverstein, "Simple de Sitter Solutions," Phys. Rev. D **77**, 106006 (2008) [arXiv:0712.1196 [hep-th]].

[44] J. P. Conlon, R. Kallosh, A. D. Linde and F. Quevedo, "Volume Modulus Inflation and the Gravitino Mass Problem," JCAP **0809**, 011 (2008) [arXiv:0806.0809 [hep-th]].

[45] K. Choi, A. Falkowski, H. P. Nilles, M. Olechowski and S. Pokorski, "Stability of flux compactifications and the pattern of supersymmetry breaking," JHEP **0411**, 076 (2004) [hep-th/0411066]; H. Abe, T. Higaki and T. Kobayashi, "More about F-term uplifting," Phys. Rev. D **76**, 105003 (2007) [arXiv:0707.2671 [hep-th]]; A. Achucarro, S. Hardeman and K. Sousa, "F-term uplifting and the supersymmetric integration of heavy moduli," JHEP **0811**, 003 (2008) [arXiv:0809.1441 [hep-th]].

[46] K. Choi, A. Falkowski, H. P. Nilles and M. Olechowski, "Soft supersymmetry breaking in KKLT flux compactification," Nucl. Phys. B **718**, 113 (2005) [hep-th/0503216]; K. Choi, K. S. Jeong and K. i. Okumura, "Phenomenology of mixed modulus-anomaly mediation in fluxed string compactifications and brane models," JHEP **0509**, 039 (2005) [hep-ph/0504037].

[47] M. Endo, M. Yamaguchi and K. Yoshioka, "A Bottom-up approach to moduli dynamics in heavy gravitino scenario: Superpotential, soft terms and sparticle

mass spectrum," Phys. Rev. D **72**, 015004 (2005) [hep-ph/0504036]; A. Falkowski, O. Lebedev and Y. Mambrini, "SUSY phenomenology of KKLT flux compactifications," JHEP **0511**, 034 (2005) [hep-ph/0507110]; O. Lebedev, V. Lowen, Y. Mambrini, H. P. Nilles and M. Ratz, "Metastable Vacua in Flux Compactifications and Their Phenomenology," JHEP **0702**, 063 (2007) [hep-ph/0612035].

[48] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, "Out of this world supersymmetry breaking," Nucl. Phys. B **557**, 79 (1999) [hep-th/9810155], G. F. Giudice, M. A. Luty, H. Murayama and R. Rattazzi, "Gaugino mass without singlets," JHEP **9812** (1998) 027 [hep-ph/9810442].

[49] G. F. Giudice and A. Romanino, "Split supersymmetry," Nucl. Phys. B **699**, 65 (2004) [Nucl. Phys. B **706**, 65 (2005)] [hep-ph/0406088]; N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, G. F. Giudice and A. Romanino, "Aspects of split supersymmetry," Nucl. Phys. B **709**, 3 (2005) [hep-ph/0409232].

[50] K. Choi and K. S. Jeong, "Supersymmetry breaking and moduli stabilization with anomalous U(1) gauge symmetry," JHEP **0608**, 007 (2006) [hep-th/0605108].

[51] A. Linde, Y. Mambrini and K. A. Olive, "Supersymmetry Breaking due to Moduli Stabilization in String Theory," Phys. Rev. D **85**, 066005 (2012) [arXiv:1111.1465 [hep-th]].

[52] A. Brignole, L. E. Ibanez and C. Munoz, "Soft supersymmetry breaking terms from supergravity and superstring models," Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy Phys. **21**, 244 (2010) [hep-ph/9707209]; D. G. Cerdeno and C. Munoz, "An introduction to supergravity," PoS CORFU **98**, 011 (1998).

[53] S. Ferrara and R. Kallosh, "Creation of Matter in the Universe and Groups of Type E7," JHEP **1112**, 096 (2011) [hep-th/1110.4048].

[54] T. W. Grimm and J. Louis, "The Effective action of N = 1 Calabi-Yau orientifolds," Nucl. Phys. B **699**, 387 (2004) [hep-th/0403067].

[55] V. Balasubramanian and P. Berglund, "Stringy corrections to Kahler potentials, SUSY breaking, and the cosmological constant problem," JHEP **0411**, 085 (2004) [hep-th/0408054].

[56] V. Balasubramanian, P. Berglund, J. P. Conlon and F. Quevedo, "Systematics of moduli stabilisation in Calabi-Yau flux compactifications," JHEP **0503**, 007 (2005) [hep-th/0502058].

[57] J. P. Conlon, F. Quevedo and K. Suruliz, "Large-volume flux compactifications: Moduli spectrum and D3/D7 soft supersymmetry breaking," JHEP **0508**, 007 (2005) [hep-th/0505076].

[58] B. C. Allanach, F. Quevedo and K. Suruliz, "Low-energy supersymmetry breaking from string flux compactifications: Benchmark scenarios," JHEP **0604**, 040 (2006) [hep-ph/0512081].

[59] J. P. Conlon and F. Quevedo, "Gaugino and Scalar Masses in the Landscape," JHEP **0606**, 029 (2006) [hep-th/0605141].

[60] J. P. Conlon, S. S. Abdussalam, F. Quevedo and K. Suruliz, "Soft SUSY Breaking Terms for Chiral Matter in IIB String Compactifications," JHEP **0701**, 032 (2007) [hep-th/0610129]; J. P. Conlon, D. Cremades and F. Quevedo, "Kahler potentials of chiral matter fields for Calabi-Yau string compactifications," JHEP **0701**, 022 (2007) [hep-th/0609180].

[61] S. Angus and J. P. Conlon, "Soft Supersymmetry Breaking in Anisotropic LARGE Volume Compactifications," JHEP **1303**, 071 (2013) [arXiv:1211.6927 [hep-th]].

[62] L. Aparicio, M. Cicoli, S. Krippendorf, A. Maharana, F. Muia and F. Quevedo, "Sequestered de Sitter String Scenarios: Soft-terms," JHEP **1411**, 071 (2014) [arXiv:1409.1931 [hep-th]].

[63] M. Chakraborti, U. Chattopadhyay, S. Rao and D. P. Roy, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 3, 035022 (2015) [arXiv:1411.4517 [hep-ph]].

[64] W. Abdallah and S. Khalil, "MSSM Dark Matter in Light of Higgs and LUX Results," arXiv:1509.07031 [hep-ph].

[65] J. P. Conlon and D. Cremades, "The Neutrino Suppression Scale from Large Volumes," Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 041803 (2007) [hep-ph/0611144].

[66] C. A. Baker, D. D. Doyle, P. Geltenbort, K. Green, M. G. D. van der Grinten, P. G. Harris, P. Iaydjiev and S. N. Ivanov *et al.*, "An Improved experimental limit on the electric dipole moment of the neutron," Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 131801 (2006), [hep-ex/0602020].

[67] J. E. Kim and G. Carosi, "Axions and the Strong CP Problem," Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 557 (2010) [arXiv:0807.3125 [hep-ph]].

[68] J. P. Conlon, "The QCD axion and moduli stabilisation," JHEP **0605**, 078 (2006) [hep-th/0602233].

[69] G. Hinshaw *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration], "Nine-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Cosmological Parameter Results," Astrophys. J. Suppl. **208**, 19 (2013) [arXiv:1212.5226 [astro-ph.CO]].

[70] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], "Planck 2013 results. XVI. Cosmological parameters," Astron. Astrophys. **571**, A16 (2014) [arXiv:1303.5076 [astro-ph.CO]]; P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], "Planck 2013 results. XV. CMB power spectra and likelihood," Astron. Astrophys. **571**, A15 (2014) [arXiv:1303.5075 [astro-ph.CO]]. P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], "Planck 2013 results. XXII. Constraints on inflation," Astron. Astrophys. **571**, A22 (2014) [arXiv:1303.5082 [astro-ph.CO]].

[71] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [BICEP2 Collaboration], "Detection of B-Mode Polarization at Degree Angular Scales by BICEP2," Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 241101 (2014) [arXiv:1403.3985 [astro-ph.CO]].

[72] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], "Planck 2015 results. XIII. Cosmological parameters," arXiv:1502.01589 [astro-ph.CO].

[73] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [BICEP2 and Planck Collaborations], "Joint Analysis of BICEP2/*KeckArray* and *Planck* Data," Phys. Rev. Lett. **114** (2015) 10, 101301 [arXiv:1502.00612 [astro-ph.CO]].

[74] T. Banks, D. B. Kaplan and A. E. Nelson, "Cosmological implications of dynamical supersymmetry breaking," Phys. Rev. D **49**, 779 (1994) [arXiv:hep-th/9308292].

[75] V. Balasubramanian, P. Berglund, J. P. Conlon and F. Quevedo, "Systematics of moduli stabilisation in Calabi-Yau flux compactifications," JHEP **0503**, 007 (2005) [hep-th/0502058].

[76] B. de Carlos, J. A. Casas, F. Quevedo and E. Roulet, "Model independent properties and cosmological implications of the dilaton and moduli sectors of 4-d strings," Phys. Lett. B **318**, 447 (1993) [arXiv:hep-th/9308325].

[77] W. Buchmuller, C. Wieck and M. W. Winkler, "Supersymmetric Moduli Stabilization and High-Scale Inflation," Phys. Lett. B **736**, 237 (2014) [arXiv:1404.2275 [hep-th]].

[78] W. Buchmuller, E. Dudas, L. Heurtier and C. Wieck, "Large-Field Inflation and Supersymmetry Breaking," JHEP **1409**, 053 (2014) [arXiv:1407.0253 [hep-th]].

[79] A. Hebecker, P. Mangat, F. Rompineve and L. T. Witkowski, "Tuning and Backreaction in F-term Axion Monodromy Inflation," Nucl. Phys. B **894**, 456 (2015) [arXiv:1411.2032 [hep-th]].

[80] W. Buchmuller, E. Dudas, L. Heurtier, A. Westphal, C. Wieck and M. W. Winkler, "Challenges for Large-Field Inflation and Moduli Stabilization," JHEP **1504**, 058 (2015) [arXiv:1501.05812 [hep-th]].

[81] E. Dudas and C. Wieck, "Moduli backreaction and supersymmetry breaking in string-inspired inflation models," [arXiv:1506.01253 [hep-th]].

[82] G. R. Dvali, Q. Shafi and R. K. Schaefer, "Large scale structure and supersymmetric inflation without fine tuning," Phys. Rev. Lett. **73** (1994) 1886 [hep-ph/9406319]; M. Bastero-Gil, S. F. King and Q. Shafi, "Supersymmetric Hybrid Inflation with Non-Minimal Kahler potential," Phys. Lett. B **651**, 345 (2007) [hep-ph/0604198].

[83] M. Kawasaki, M. Yamaguchi and T. Yanagida, "Natural chaotic inflation in supergravity," Phys. Rev. Lett. **85** (2000) 3572 [hep-ph/0004243]; M. Yamaguchi

and J. Yokoyama, "New inflation in supergravity with a chaotic initial condition," Phys. Rev. D **63** (2001) 043506 [hep-ph/0007021]; P. Brax and J. Martin, "Shift symmetry and inflation in supergravity," Phys. Rev. D **72** (2005) 023518 [hep-th/0504168]; E. Dudas, "Three-form multiplet and Inflation," JHEP **1412**, 014 (2014) [arXiv:1407.5688 [hep-th]]. R. Kallosh and A. Linde, "New models of chaotic inflation in supergravity," JCAP **1011** (2010) 011 [arXiv:1008.3375 [hep-th]]. R. Kallosh, A. Linde and T. Rube, "General inflaton potentials in supergravity," Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 043507 [arXiv:1011.5945 [hep-th]].

[84] J. J. Blanco-Pillado, C. P. Burgess, J. M. Cline, C. Escoda, M. Gomez-Reino, R. Kallosh, A. D. Linde and F. Quevedo, "Racetrack inflation," JHEP **0411**, 063 (2004) [hep-th/0406230]. J. J. Blanco-Pillado, C. P. Burgess, J. M. Cline, C. Escoda, M. Gomez-Reino, R. Kallosh, A. D. Linde and F. Quevedo, "Inflating in a better racetrack," JHEP **0609**, 002 (2006) [hep-th/0603129].

[85] J. P. Conlon and F. Quevedo, "Kahler moduli inflation," JHEP **0601**, 146 (2006) [hep-th/0509012].

[86] C. P. Burgess, M. Cicoli and F. Quevedo, "String Inflation After Planck 2013," JCAP **1311**, 003 (2013) [arXiv:1306.3512 [hep-th]].

[87] E. Silverstein and A. Westphal, "Monodromy in the CMB: Gravity Waves and String Inflation," Phys. Rev. D **78**, 106003 (2008) [arXiv:0803.3085 [hep-th]].